

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,782	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending.

(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(3) _____.

(2) Joerg-Uwe Szipl.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-8

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's Amendment to place the instant application into conditions for allowance was proposed to the Applicant. Applicant preferred to submit the suggested changes via a preliminary amendment instead. After receiving said preliminary amendment a second Examiner's Amendment was proposed to clarify some language. Said amendment was agreed upon.